PATENT

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presented herein is requested. Claims 1-

18 are pending in the present application. In the above amendments, claim 13 has been

amended.

In the Office Action mailed June 15, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 9-16 under 35

U.S.C. § 103.

Applicants respectfully respond to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 9 and 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable

over U.S. Patent No. 5,901,075 to Offord et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,985,902 to Gurcan.

To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must

be some suggestion or motivation of, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge

generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine the

reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the

prior art reference(s) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations.

The rejection contends that Offord teaches an apparatus that comprises a plurality of tap

weights in a FIR filter whose coefficients are associated with the data signals received during

assigned time slots, a summing node coupled to the plurality of tap weights to sum the tap

outputs and a processor to process the indicated tap weights coefficient which get updated during

the assigned time slots.

With respect, the Examiner's argument is traversed. Contrary to Offord and Gurcan,

claim 9 includes a memory storage unit to store coefficient adjustment information. In Offord,

there is no memory storage unit and the coefficients are not adjusted; they are simply processed.

In the present invention, the coefficient adjustment unit 730 determines the current time slot and

adjusts the corresponding set of coefficients. The coefficients are then stored in a memory

storage device and retrieved as needed for calculations during each time slot. (See Applicants'

Attorney Docket No.: 000376

Customer No.: 23696

6

Specification, p.31, ll. 13-15.) This feature is added in claim 13 in order to overcome the rejection.

Applicants thus respectfully submit that claims 9 and 13 are not rendered obvious by the Offord Patent when considered alone or in combination with Gurcan. Claims 14-15 depend from claim 13 and therefore include all the limitations of that independent claim. Since the Offord and Gurcan references do not render claims 9 and 13-15 unpatentable, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections thereof be withdrawn by the Examiner.

The Examiner also rejected claims 10, 11, 12, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Offord in view of Gurcan and further in view of Chin Hwa Lee et al. (Signals, Systems and Computers 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 89-93.) For the reasons mentioned above claims 9 and 13 are not rendered obvious by Offord when considered alone or in combination with Gurcan and Lee. Claims 10, 11, 12, and 16 depend directly and indirectly from claims 9 and 13 and therefore include all the limitations of those independent claims. Since the Offord, Gurcan, and Lee references do not render claims 10, 11, 12, and 16 unpatentable, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections thereof be withdrawn by the Examiner.

Attorney Docket No.: 000376

Customer No.: 23696

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that all pending claims in the application are Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 7/20/2004

Hare / himited Recognition

Arti A. Kane, Limited Recognition

858-845-2650

QUALCOMM Incorporated 5775 Morehouse Drive San Diego, California 92121

Telephone: Facsimile:

(858) 651-4125

(858) 658-2502

Attorney Docket No.: 000376

Customer No.: 23696

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF ENROLLMENT AND DISCIPLINE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

LIMITED RECOGNITION UNDER 37 CFR § 10.9(b)

Arti Kane is hereby given limited recognition under 37 CFR § 10.9(b) as an employee of QUALCOMM Incorporated to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein QUALCOMM Incorporated is the assignee of record of the entire interest. This limited recognition shall expire on the date appearing below, or when whichever of the following events first occurs prior to the date appearing below: (i) Arti Kane ceases to lawfully reside in the United States, (ii) Arti Kane's employment with QUALCOMM Incorporated ceases or is terminated, or (iii) Arti Kane ceases to remain or reside in the United States on a H1B1 visa.

This document constitutes proof of such recognition. The original of this document is on file in the Office of Enrollment and Discipline of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Expires: May 5, 2005

Harry I. Moatz

Director of Enrollment and Discipline